UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/944,922	08/31/2001	Joseph B. Fuller	29409/01	2250
75	90 11/15/2004	-	EXAMINER	
Joseph T. Guy, Ph.D.			TORRES, ALICIA M	
Nexsen Pruet Ja PO Drawer 106	acobs & Pollard, LLC 48		ART UNIT PAPER NUMB	
Greenville, SC	29603-0648		3671	
			DATE MAILED: 11/15/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s	5)			
	09/944,922	FULLER, JO	FULLER, JOSEPH B.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	V 11.1			
	Alicia M Torres	3671	<u> </u>			
The MAILING DATE of this communication of the Period for Reply	nication appears on the cove	r sheet with the corresponder	nce address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUI - Extensions of time may be available under the provision after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this con - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for rep Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. as of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, how amunication. (30) days, a reply within the statutory mistatutory period will apply and will expire by will, by statute, cause the application to after the mailing date of this communication.	ever, may a reply be timely filed nimum of thirty (30) days will be consider SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 1	of this communication. 33).			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) fi	led on 26 August 2004.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL .	2b) This action is non-fin	al.				
3) Since this application is in condition	, _					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗆	Interview Summary (PTO-413)				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 of Paper No(s)/Mail Date	(PTO-948) or PTO/SB/08) 5) [Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application	on (PTO-152 <u>)</u>			

Application/Control Number: 09/944,922

Art Unit: 3671

Claim Objections

Page 2

1. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: in final line, "multi-directional" should be changed to -multidirectional— to be consistent with the specification.

Appropriate correction is required.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Winslow in view of Moore '901.

Winslow discloses a device comprising:

A base (1) comprising a front and a rear;

A motor (not shown, see column 1, lines 38-41) attached directly to the base (1);

Two unidirectional wheels (3) attached directly to the front of the base (1);

A multidirectional wheel (4) attached directly to the rear of the base (1);

A handle (10); and

A universal joint (see Figure 4) comprising two non-parallel axles (13, 15) connecting the handle (10) to the rear of the base (1) above the multi-directional wheel (4), as per claim 1; and Wherein the base (1) comprises a recess (see Figure 2), as per claim 2; and

Wherein the universal joint (40) comprises:

A handle couple (11) attached to the handle (10);

A link (12) rotatably attached to the handle couple (11); and

A bracket (14) rotatably attached to the link (12) and attached to the base (1), as per claim 4.

While Winslow discloses that the connection may be used on a lawn mower, Winslow does not specifically disclose wherein the motor is attached to and rotates a cutting element wherein the cutting element comprises at least one line.

Moore discloses a wheeled lawn mower wherein the motor (6) is attached to and rotates a cutting element (11) wherein the cutting element (11) comprises at least one line.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the cutting line of Moore on the lawn mower of Winslow in order to provide a cutting device with simple and lightweight construction.

With respect to the multidirectional wheel (4) of Winslow, it appears the wheel is multidirectional since a user would be forced to drag the wheel (4) across the lawn in order to get from the position shown in Figure 2 to that of Figure 3 if the structure were any otherwise.

Art Unit: 3671

4. If Applicant disputes the above assessment of Winslow, Hampton US No. 5,806,867 discloses a wheeled device steered by a handle (36) connected to a base (10) through a universal joint (40), the base (10) including unidirectional wheels (30b, 30c) and multidirectional wheels (30b, 30d) located opposite the unidirectional wheels and below the universal joint.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the multidirectional wheels of Hampton on the device of Winslow and Moore in order to allow easy steering.

5. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Winslow in view of Moore '901 as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Yamada.

The device is disclosed as applied to claim I above. However, Winslow and Moore fail to disclose a lower grip attached to the handle.

Yamada discloses a trimmer including a lower grip.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the lower grip of Yamada on the device of Winslow and Moore '901 in order to support the apparatus in good balance.

6. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Winslow in view of Moore '901 as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Knox, Jr.

The device is disclosed as applied to claim I above. However, Winslow and Moore fail to disclose wherein the motor is an electric motor.

Knox, Jr. discloses a trimmer wherein the motor (33) is an electric motor.

Application/Control Number: 09/944,922 Page 5

Art Unit: 3671

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the electric motor of Knox on the device of Winslow and Moore '901 in order to provide a lightweight power means.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/944,922 Page 6

Art Unit: 3671

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alicia M. Torres whose telephone number is 703-305-6953. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 7:00 a.m. – 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas B. Will, can be reached at 703-308-3870.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-1113. The fax number for this Group is 703-872-9306.

Thomas B. Will

Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group Art Unit 3671

AMT

November 3, 2004